

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,930	03/01/2004	Yoshiki Ishii	1232-5318	3251
27123 7590 06/29/2007 MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			EXAM	INER
			HENN, TIMOTHY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
		,		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,930	ISHII, YOSHIKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy J. Henn	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 May 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 3	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,12 and 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,11,13 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objection the drawing(s) be held in abeyare rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date informal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 30 May 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that undue diverse search should not be required and all claims should be examined together. This is not found persuasive because Group II contains limitations which are not present in Group I (i.e. selection of a recording mode based on a user set maximum variable magnification ratio).

Therefore, a proper search of Group I would not cover Group II.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because in Figure 7 "FILTER" is misspelled "FILTE" in block 704. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date

Art Unit: 2622

of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. Figures 26 and 27 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1-9 and 13 are objected to because of the following:

[claims 1-9 and 13]

Claims 1-9 and 13 contain the limitation of a second signal processing means which "increase[es] a number of pixels to be recorded than that of the acquired pixels

when the magnification ratio of the image is to be decreased". However, the specification discloses a second signal processing which occurs when the magnification ratio of the image is to increased past what is possible through a first processing means (e.g. Figures 9 and Figures 14-16). Furthermore, from Figure 9 it can be seen that the output image is kept at a fixed resolution and not increased to be more "than that of the acquired pixels" as claimed. It is unclear from the specification and the claim as written how a system which increases a number of pixels to be recorded when a magnification ratio is to be decreased can obtain such a result when the process of increasing the pixels occurs when a telephoto selection is continually selected (see for example, second to last paragraph of claim 1). For the purposes of art rejection, claim 1 and 13 will be read as requiring a second signal processing means which "increase[es] a number of pixels to be recorded when the magnification ratio of the image is to be increased".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

[claim 13]

Claim 13 claims "a program". However, "a program" is descriptive material and is not a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and is therefore non-

statutory subject matter under 35 USC §101. For further details see OG Notices: 22 November 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Itou (JP 2001-197347).

[claim 15]

Regarding claim 15, Itou discloses an image sensing apparatus which has an optical zoom function (Figure 1, Item 22) and an electrical zoom function (Figure 1, Item 60) and in which a sensed image sensed at a photographing magnification ratio covered by the optical zoom function is recorded as a reduced image obtained by reducing the sensed image to an image having a predetermined number of pixels smaller than a number of pixels of the sensed image (i.e. an output resolution; Paragraph 0036), and when photographing at a magnification ratio more than a maximum photographing magnification ratio covered by the optical zoom function is instructed, an image generated from the sensed image by using the electrical zoom is recorded (Paragraphs 0038-0040), comprising: storage means for storing a set value representing, of

photographing magnification ratios that the electrical zoom function copes with, a maximum magnification ratio that is to be used in image sensing (i.e. a condition at which the use of first zoom processing is halted and second zoom processing is started; Paragraphs 0036 and 0042); first electrical zoom means for extracting the image having the predetermined number of pixels from the sensed image to obtain an enlarged image (e.g. Paragraph 0010 and 0041; obtaining image data from a selected range or "cropping"); second electrical zoom means for extracting a partial image of the enlarged image and enlarging the partial image to the image having the predetermined number of pixels to obtain an enlarged image (interpolation; Paragraphs 0010 and 0042-0044) and switching means for switching, in accordance with the set value, between image sensing which is to be executed using both the first electrical zoom means and the second electrical zoom means and image sensing which is to be executed using only the first electrical zoom means (Paragraphs 0041-0044; Figure 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1, 7-9, 11, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itou (JP 2001-197347) in view of Parulski (US 6,539,177).

Regarding claim 1, Itou discloses a signal processing apparatus (Figure 1, Item 60) which changes a magnification ratio of an image acquired by image sensing means (Figure 1, Item 20), comprising: first processing means for executing first signal processing for reducing a number of pixels to be recorded than that of the acquired pixels when the magnification ration of the image is to be increased (e.g. Paragraph 0010 and 0041; obtaining image data from a selected range or "cropping"); second signal processing means for executing second signal processing for increasing a number of pixels to be recorded when the magnification ratio of the image is to be increased (interpolation; Paragraphs 0010 and 0042-0044); first detection means for detecting selection of zooming (Figure 1, Item 118; Paragraphs 0038-0040); second detection means for detecting a limit of an increase in magnification ratio of the image by the first signal processing (Paragraph 0042; judgment section 260) and selection means for selecting one of a first mode and a second mode (Paragraph 0042); wherein when the first detection means detects that a zoom operation is continuously selected, the first signal processing is executed and when the second detection means detects that the increase in magnification ratio of the image by the first signal processing means has reached the limit, the second signal processing means is subsequently executed to enlarge the image (Paragraph 0042). Itou discloses warning a user when further zooming of an image may result in a less than optimal picture piror to performing the second signal processing and does not explicitly disclose a first mode which performs the second signal processing without inhibiting and a second mode which inhibits the second signal processing.

Parulski discloses a digital camera in which different modes can be set for beginner, intermediate and advanced users that control the number and type of messages a camera relates to a user during image capture (c. 19, l. 60 - c. 20, l. 65). The camera of Parulski includes modes which give suggestions regarding the zooming state of an image and at least a mode in which all suggestions are turned off (c. 19, l. 64 - c. 20, l. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include modes as described by Parulski to allow a user to turn off the warning message generated when further zooming is instructed if the user does not desire to receive such messages from the camera. The examiner notes that in an "off" mode the second signal processing would not be inhibited and in an "on" (i.e. beginner) mode, the second signal processing would be inhibited. While Itou in view of Parulski discloses a zoom button and a first detection means, the zoom button and detection means is not explicitly disclosed as including a telephoto side and a wide angle side.

Official Notice is taken that zoom control buttons including telephoto sides and wide angle sides are notoriously well known in the art to provide full control of zooming without requiring two individual switches for zooming out and zooming in instructions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a switch and detection means which includes a telephoto side to allow a user to instruct zooming in and a wide angle side to allow a user to instruct zooming out.

· [claim 7]

Regarding claim 7, Itou discloses enlarging the signal by the second signal processing (Paragraph 0044; interpolation).

[claim 8]

Regarding claim 8, Itou in view of Parulski disclose a signal storage apparatus for storing the image (Figure 1, Item 76; Paragraph 0033). For further details see the rejection of claim 1.

[claim 9]

Regarding claim 9, Itou in view of Parulski discloses a signal processing apparatus (e.g. Itou, Figure 1, Item 60); optical variable magnification means for changing a view angle of an object image formed on a light receiving surface of the image sensing means (Figure 1, Item 22 and Item 30) wherein the variable magnification by the optical variable magnification means is executed in first signal processing (Paragraph 0038-0040). For further details see the rejection of claim 1.

[claim 11]

Claim 11 is a method claim corresponding to apparatus claim 1. Therefore, claim 11 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 above.

[claim 13]

Claim 13 is a program claim corresponding to apparatus claim 11. Official Notice is taken that it is notoriously well known in the art to implement image processing methods and camera control methods in programs to make use of general purpose processors and to not require the creation of application specific circuits. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to implement the method of claim 11 in a program to construct the camera using general purpose processors.

12. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itou (JP 2001-197347) in view of Parulski (US 6,539,177) in view of Hashimoto (US 4,910,599).

[claim 2]

Regarding claim 2, Itou in view of Parulski discloses a first signal processing means which reduces an amount of image data, but do not explicitly disclose how this operation is carried out.

Hashimoto discloses an imaging apparatus including an electronic zooming operation in which a reduced amount of data is obtained. The system of Hashimoto uses varying reading signals in order to obtain the needed data while reading out unnecessary portions of the image at a high frequency in a blanking period (c. 5, I. 58 - c. 6, I. 2). Hashimoto further discloses that a LPF operation is necessary to eliminate degradation of the image due to inclusion of vertical stripes (c. 15, I. 29-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use varying reading signals and a LPF to obtain a reduced image since such an operation is known in the art as an effective method for performing an electronic zooming operation. The examiner notes that a LPF inherently limits a spatial frequency band as claimed.

[claim 3]

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 3, Hashimoto discloses changing a frequency characteristic of the spatial frequency band limit in accordance with the variable magnification ratio (Figures 13 and 14).

13. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itou (JP 2001-197347) in view of Parulski (US 6,539,177) in view of Hirose et al. (US 5,838,371).

[claim 4]

Regarding claim 4, Itou in view of Parulski discloses second signal processing means which interpolates an image signal, but does not disclose edge enhancement.

Hirose discloses that in an electronic zooming operation, horizontal and vertical resolutions of the image can be degraded resulting in a low apparent resolution and that to improve the apparent resolution edge enhancement should be performed (c. 1, II. 16-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include edge enhancement in the second signal processing means to increase the apparent resolution of the resulting image.

[claim 5]

Regarding claim 5, Hirose further discloses that the degree of edge enhancement should change in accordance with the magnification ratio (e.g. c. 2, ll. 41-60) to avoid overcorrection of the image.

[claim 6]

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 6, Itou discloses a zoom switch for commanding a zoom operation (e.g. Figure 1, Item 118). It is noted that if a zoom command is not input, i.e. a magnification of x1, the first and second signal processing will not be performed.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

i.	Brake et al.	US 2003/0103156
ii.	Daniels et al.	US 6,643,416
iii.	Nozaki	US 7,046,290
iv	Nozawa	US 2001/0055066

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Henn whose telephone number is (571) 272-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 11-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TJH 6/15/2007

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600